# NAE Grand Challenges Summit Poster Judging Sheet

Regional summit site: Seattle, WA  
Date: 5/2/10  
Name of judge: 

Poster title: 
Authors: 
Institution: 

Judging of each poster element is based on 1=poor and 5=excellent. Total score is sum of numerical score from all four elements (max=20). If multiple judges review a single poster, then the poster score is the average of the scores from all of the judges.

## 1. Issue addressed.
How well do they describe the target issue, why it is important, and where it is prevalent?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>___1 (poor)</th>
<th>___2 (fair)</th>
<th>___3 (good)</th>
<th>___4 (very good)</th>
<th>___5 (excellent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments:

## 2. Proposed technology, process or product.
How well do they describe the proposed approach?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>___1 (poor)</th>
<th>___2 (fair)</th>
<th>___3 (good)</th>
<th>___4 (very good)</th>
<th>___5 (excellent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments:

## 3. Innovation.
How well do they describe why the proposed approach represents an affordable and practical intervention?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>___1 (poor)</th>
<th>___2 (fair)</th>
<th>___3 (good)</th>
<th>___4 (very good)</th>
<th>___5 (excellent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments:

## 4. Production and deployment.
How well do they describe how this technology, process or product will be developed and deployed given the regional resource limitations?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>___1 (poor)</th>
<th>___2 (fair)</th>
<th>___3 (good)</th>
<th>___4 (very good)</th>
<th>___5 (excellent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments:

**TOTAL SCORE:**

/20